

# Scientific Review for Straumann® Roxolid® SLActive® Implants.

## HIGHER CONFIDENCE IN ALL INDICATIONS

Roxolid is a dental implant material combining both excellent biocompatibility and high mechanical strength. Roxolid is a metal alloy composed of ~15 % zirconium and ~85 % titanium, which leads to an increased mechanical resistance compared to pure titanium. A higher mechanical resistance of titanium-zirconium alloys compared to pure titanium has been reported by **Kobayashi et al. 1995**. Roxolid Implants have up to 42% higher fatigue strength than titanium implants of comparable size and type (**Grandin et al. 2012**). In addition, it has been shown that titanium-zirconium alloys have a better biocompatibility than titanium (**Ikarashi et al. 2005**).

Today, dentists and their patients expect not only a successful dental implant treatment but also a short and predictable healing time. Straumann® SLActive® is a chemically modified hydrophilic surface. Preclinical studies have shown that the osseointegration process of the SLActive surface is faster compared to the SLA® surface (**Buser et al. 2004, Schwarz et al. 2007**). This secondary stability is achieved sooner than hydrophobic surfaces increasing predictability during early healing. Beyond that, Roxolid Implants with the SLActive surface showed osseointegration properties that were at least as good or even superior to those of titanium implants with the SLActive surface (**Gottlow et al. 2012, Wen et al. 2013**).

Human studies proved the osseointegration process is accelerated for implants with the SLActive surface (**Oates et al. 2007, Lang et al. 2011**). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that implants with the SLActive surface can successfully be used in immediate and early treatment protocols without compromising on performance or predictability of implant therapy (**Nicolau et al 2013, Bornstein et al. 2010, Buser et al. 2013**). These conclusions are supported by the preclinical findings of a shortened healing phase which may increase predictability during early healing.

Clinical studies have shown that Roxolid SLActive Implants are equally effective as titanium implants (**Barter et al. 2012, Quirynen et al. 2014, Al-Nawas et al. 2014**). In these studies Roxolid SLActive Implants reached success and survival rates of 97 % or higher after two years – similar as reported for titanium implants. Also crestal bone level changes of less than 0.2 mm per year following the year after implant placement have been documented for Roxolid SLActive Implants (**Barter et al. 2012**).

## PREDICTABLE TREATMENT SUCCESS EVEN IN CHALLENGING CASES

Many patients have difficult health conditions that could compromise the treatment outcome of the implant therapy. Especially in challenging indications, the use of an implant system that is clinically tested and for which the performance is documented in scientific literature is important to minimize the risk of treatment failure. Straumann® SLActive® Implants have been tested in very challenging indications and successful treatment outcomes have been documented. Clinical studies have been performed in the following challenging situations:

- Implant placement in the horizontally augmented maxillary sinus, 97% survival rate after one year (**Lindgren et al. 2012**)
- Dehiscence defects after implant placement, 100% survival rate after one year (**Van Assche et al. 2013**)
- Early implant placement in the posterior maxilla, 100% survival rate after one year (**Roccuzzo & Wilson 2009**)
- Immediate loading of overdentures supported by two bar-splinted implants, 99% survival rate up to 40 months (**Stoker et al. 2011**)
- Rehabilitation of atrophic maxilla supporting an over-denture, 100 % survival rate after 12-16 months (mean follow-up of 13.5 months). (**Cordaro et al. 2013**)

These studies impressively document that SLActive Implants can also successfully be placed in very challenging indications.

## PRACTICE DIFFERENTIATOR OFFERING NEW TREATMENT POSSIBILITIES

Many clinicians routinely treat patients with a limited quantity of crestal jaw bone. In these situations, implants with a regular diameter or length are typically placed if reconstructive or regenerative techniques will be applied. These techniques can be very invasive for the patient as well as time consuming and expensive. Smaller-sized implants could overcome the need of reconstructive or regenerative therapies and are therefore an attractive alternative. **Benic et al. 2013** compared Ø 3.3 mm Roxolid Implants to Ø 4.1 mm titanium implants. In this study, it was found that both implants performed equally successful, reaching 100% survival rates after one year. **Chiapasco et al. 2012** used Ø 3.3 mm Roxolid Implants as an alter-

native treatment option to bone regeneration or reconstructions. In the study, 100% success and survival rates were found after up to 19 months. In a non-interventional study, which was performed in 40 centers in 7 countries, 603 Roxolid Implants were placed in 357 patients (**Al-Nawas et al. 2014**). The study reported a survival rate of 98% and a success rate of 97 % after two years. Clinicians also documented that for 54% of the placed implants, a bone augmentation procedure could be avoided by using Ø 3.3 mm Roxolid Implants.

Roxolid Implants offer a higher tensile strength compared to Straumann Grade 4 titanium implants\* and are designed to be used in challenging indications. The hydrophilic SLActive surface accelerates the healing process compared to the hydrophobic SLA surface.

\* Norm ASTM F67 (states min. tensile strength of annealed titanium); data on file for Straumann cold-worked titanium and Roxolid® implants.

## REFERENCES

Al-Nawas B, Domagala P, Fragola G, Freiberger P, Ortiz-Vigón A, Rousseau P, Tondela J. A prospective non-interventional study to evaluate survival and success of reduced diameter implants made from titanium-zirconium alloy. *J Oral Implantol.* 2014 Mar 25. [Epub ahead of print] **Barter S, Stone P, Brägger U.** A pilot study to evaluate the success and survival rate of titanium-zirconium implants in partially edentulous patients: results after 24 months of follow-up. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012 Jul;23(7):873-81. **Benic GI, Gallucci GO, Mokti M, Hämmerle CH, Weber HP, Jung RE.** Titanium-zirconium narrow-diameter versus titanium regular-diameter implants for anterior and premolar single crowns: 1-year results of a randomized controlled clinical study. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2013 Nov;40(11):1052-61. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12156. Epub 2013 Sep 8. **Bernhard N, Berner S, de Wild M, Wieland M.** The binary TiZr Alloy - a newly developed Ti alloy for the use in dental implants. *Forum Implantol.* 2009, 5, 30-39. **Bornstein MM, Wittneben JG, Brägger U, Buser D.** Early loading at 21 days of non-submerged titanium implants with a chemically modified sandblasted and acid-etched surface: 3-year results of a prospective study in the posterior mandible. *J Periodontol.* 2010 Jun;81(6):809-18. **Buser D, Brogginini N, Wieland M, Schenk RK, Denzer AJ, Cochran DL, Hoffmann B, Lussi A, Steinemann SG.** Enhanced bone apposition to a chemically modified SLA titanium surface. *J Dent Res.* 2004 Jul;83(7):529-33. **Buser D, Chappuis V, Kuchler U, Bornstein MM, Wittneben JG, Buser R, Cavusoglu Y, Belser UC.** Long-term Stability of Early Implant Placement with Contour Augmentation. *J Dent Res.* 2013 Oct 24;92:1765. **Chiapasco M, Casentini P, Zaniboni M, Corsi E, Anello T.** Titanium-zirconium alloy narrow-diameter implants (Straumann Roxolid®) for the rehabilitation of horizontally deficient edentulous ridges: Prospective study on 18 consecutive patients. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2012 Oct;23(10):1136-41. **Cordaro L, Torsello F, Mirisola di Torressanto V, Baricevic M.** Rehabilitation of an edentulous atrophic maxilla with four unsplinted narrow diameter titanium-zirconium implants supporting an overdenture. *Quintessence Int.* 2013 Jan;44(1):37-43. **Gottlow J, Dard M, Kjellson F, Obrecht M, Sennerby L.** Evaluation of a new titanium-zirconium dental implant: a biomechanical and histological comparative study in the mini pig. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2012 Aug;14(4):538-45. **Ikarashi Y, Toyoda K, Kobayashi E, Doi H, Yoneyama T, Hamanaka H, Tsuchiya T.** Improved biocompatibility of Titanium-Zirconium (Ti-Zr) alloy: Tissue reaction and sensitization to Ti-Zr alloy compared with pure Ti and Zr in rat implantation study. *Materials Transaction,* 2005 46, 10, 2260-2267. **Kobayashi E, Matsumoto S, Doi H, Yoneyama T, Hamanaka H.** Mechanical properties of the binary titanium-zirconium alloys and their potential for biomedical materials. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1995 Aug;29(8):943-50. **Lang NP, Salvi GE, Huynh-Ba G, Ivanovski S, Donos N, Bosshardt DD.** Early osseointegration to hydrophilic and hydrophobic implant surfaces in humans. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2011 Apr;22(4):349-56. **Lindgren C, Mordenfeld A, Hallman M.** A prospective 1-year clinical and radiographic study of implants placed after maxillary sinus floor augmentation with synthetic biphasic calcium phosphate or deproteinized bovine bone. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2012 Mar;14(1):41-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1708-8208.2010.00224.x. Epub 2010 May 11. **Nicolau P, Korostoff J, Ganeles J, Jackowski J, Krafft T, Neves M, Divi J, Rasse M, Guerra F, Fischer K.** Immediate and early loading of chemically modified implants in posterior jaws: 3-year results from a prospective randomized multicenter study. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2013 Aug;15(4):600-12. doi: 10.1111/j.1708-8208.2011.00418.x. Epub 2011 Dec 15. **Oates TW, Valderrama P, Bischof M, Nedir R, Jones A, Simpson J, Toutenburg H, Cochran DL.** Enhanced implant stability with a chemically modified SLA surface: a randomized pilot study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2007 Sep-Oct;22(5):755-60. **Quirynen M, Al-Nawas B, Meijer HJ, Razavi A, Reichert TE, Schimmel M, Storelli S, Romeo E; the Roxolid Study Group.** Small-diameter titanium Grade IV and titanium-zirconium implants in edentulous mandibles: three-year results from a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2014 Apr 9. doi: 10.1111/clr.12367. [Epub ahead of print] **Rocuzzo M, Wilson TG Jr.** A prospective study of 3 weeks' loading of chemically modified titanium implants in the maxillary molar region: 1-year results. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 2009 Jan-Feb;24(1):65-72. **Schwarz F, Ferrari D, Herten M, Mihatovic I, Wieland M, Sager M, Becker J.** Effects of surface hydrophilicity and microtopography on early stages of soft and hard tissue integration at non-submerged titanium implants: an immunohistochemical study in dogs. *J Periodontol.* 2007 Nov;78(11):2171-84. **Stoker GT, Wismeijer D.** Immediate loading of two implants with a mandibular implant-retained overdenture: a new treatment protocol. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2011 Dec;13(4):255-61. **Van Assche N, Michels S, Naert I, Quirynen M.** Randomized Controlled Trial to Compare Two Bone Substitutes in the Treatment of Bony Dehiscence. *Clin Implant Dent Relat Res.* 2013 Aug;15(4):558-568. **Wen B, Zhu F, Li Z, Zhang P, Lin X, Dard M.** The osseointegration behavior of titanium-zirconium implants in ovariectomized rabbits. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2013 Feb 21. [Epub ahead of print] **Pictures frontpage:** ©Empa

### International Headquarters

Institut Straumann AG  
Peter Merian-Weg 12  
CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland  
Phone +41 (0)61 965 11 11  
Fax +41 (0)61 965 11 01

### Straumann North American Headquarters

Straumann USA, LLC  
60 Minuteman Road  
Andover, MA 01810  
Phone 800/448 8168 (US) • 800/363 4024 (CA)  
Fax 978/747 2490  
www.straumann.us • www.straumann.ca